arXiv:hep-th/0303148v1 17 Mar 2003

Energy-momentum conservation laws in higher-dimensional Chern–Simons models

G.Sardanashvily

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia
E-mail: sard@grav.phys.msu.su
URL: http://webcenter.ru/∼sardan/

Abstract. Though a Chern–Simons $(2k-1)$-form is not gauge-invariant and it depends on a background connection, this form seen as a Lagrangian of gauge theory on a $(2k-1)$-dimensional manifold leads to the energy-momentum conservation law.

The local Chern–Simons (henceforth CS) form seen as a Lagrangian of the gauge theory on a 3-dimensional manifold is well known to lead to the local conservation law of the canonical energy-momentum tensor. Generalizing this result, we show that a global higher-dimensional CS gauge theory admits an energy-momentum conservation law in spite of the fact that its Lagrangian depends on a background gauge potential and that one can not ignore its gauge non-invariance. The CS gravitation theory here is not considered (e.g., [1, 3]).

We derive Lagrangian energy-momentum conservation laws from the first variational formula (e.g., [5, 7, 8, 9, 10]). Let us consider a first order field theory on a fibre bundle $Y \to X$ over an n-dimensional base X. Its Lagrangian is defined as a density $L = \mathcal{L} d^n x$ on the first order jet manifold $J^1 Y$ of sections of $Y \to X$. Given bundle coordinates (x^λ, y^i) on a fibre bundle $Y \to X$, its first and second order jet manifolds $J^1 Y$ and $J^2 Y$ are equipped with the adapted coordinates $(x^\lambda, y^i, y^i_\mu)$ and $(x^\lambda, y^i, y^i_\mu, y^i_{\lambda\mu})$, respectively. We will use the notation $\omega = d^n x$ and $\omega_\lambda = \partial_\lambda \rfloor \omega$.

Given a Lagrangian L on $J^1 Y$, the corresponding Euler–Lagrange operator reads

$$\delta L = \delta_i \mathcal{L} \theta^i \wedge \omega = (\partial_i \mathcal{L} - d_\lambda \partial_i^\lambda) \mathcal{L} \theta^i \wedge \omega, \tag{1}$$

where $\theta^i = dy^i - y^i_\lambda dx^\lambda$ are contact forms and $d_\lambda = \partial_\lambda + y^i_\lambda \partial_i + y^i_{\lambda\mu} \partial^\mu_i$ are the total derivatives, which yield the total differential

$$d_H \varphi = dx^\lambda \wedge d_\lambda \varphi \tag{2}$$

acting on the pull-back of exterior forms on $J^1 Y$ onto $J^2 Y$. The kernel $\operatorname{Ker} \delta L \subset J^2 Y$ of the Euler–Lagrange operator (1) defines the Euler–Lagrange equations $\delta_i \mathcal{L} = 0$. A

Lagrangian L is said to be variationally trivial if $\delta L = 0$. This property holds iff $L = h_0(\varphi)$, where φ is a closed n-form on Y and h_0 is the horizontal projection

$$h_0(dx^\lambda) = dx^\lambda, \qquad h_0(dy^i) = y^i_\lambda dx^\lambda, \qquad h_0(dy^i_\mu) = y^i_{\lambda\mu} dx^\lambda. \tag{3}$$

The relation $d_H \circ h_0 = h_0 \circ d$ holds.

Any projectable vector field

$$u = u^\lambda(x^\mu)\partial_\lambda + u^i(x^\mu, y^j)\partial_i \tag{4}$$

on $Y \to X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a local one-parameter group of bundle automorphisms of $Y \to X$, and *vice versa*. Its prolongation onto J^1Y is

$$J^1u = u^\lambda\partial_\lambda + u^i\partial_i + (d_\lambda u^i - y^i_\mu \partial_\lambda u^\mu)\partial^\lambda_i. \tag{5}$$

Then, the Lie derivative of a Lagrangian L along J^1u reads

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1u}L = J^1u\rfloor dL + d(J^1u\rfloor L) = [\partial_\lambda(u^\lambda\mathcal{L}) + u^i\partial_i\mathcal{L} + (d_\lambda u^i - y^i_\mu\partial_\lambda u^\mu)\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L}]\omega. \tag{6}$$

The first variational formula provides its canonical decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}_{J^1u}L = u_V\rfloor\delta L + d_H h_0(u\rfloor H_L) = \\ (u^i - y^i_\mu u^\mu)\delta_i\mathcal{L}\omega - d_\lambda[(u^\mu y^i_\mu - u^i)\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L} - u^\lambda\mathcal{L}]\omega,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $u_V = (u\rfloor\theta^i)\partial_i$, H_L is the Poincaré–Cartan form, and

$$\mathfrak{J}_u = -h_0(u\rfloor H_L) = \mathfrak{J}^\lambda_u\omega_\lambda = [(u^\mu y^i_\mu - u^i)\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L} - u^\lambda\mathcal{L}]\omega_\lambda \tag{8}$$

is the symmetry current along u. On $\operatorname{Ker}\delta L$, the first variational formula (7) leads to the weak equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{L}_{J^1u}L \approx -d_H\mathfrak{J}_u, \\ &\partial_\lambda(u^\lambda\mathcal{L}) + u^i\partial_i\mathcal{L} + (d_\lambda u^i - y^i_\mu\partial_\lambda u^\mu)\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L} \approx -d_\lambda[(u^\mu y^i_\mu - u^i)\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L} - u^\lambda\mathcal{L}].\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let the Lie derivative (6) reduces to the total differential

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^ru}L = d_H\sigma, \tag{10}$$

e.g., if L is a variationally trivial Lagrangian or L is invariant under a one-parameter group of bundle automorphisms of $Y \to X$ generated by u. Then, the weak equality (9) takes the form

$$0 \approx -d_H(\mathfrak{J}_u + \sigma), \tag{11}$$

regarded as a conservation law of the modified symmetry current $\overline{\mathfrak{J}} = \mathfrak{J}_u + \sigma$.

It is readily observed that symmetry currents (8) obey the relation

$$\mathfrak{J}_{u+u'} = \mathfrak{J}_u + \mathfrak{J}_{u'}. \tag{12}$$

Note that any projectable vector field u (4), projected onto a vector field $\tau = u^\lambda\partial_\lambda$ on X, can be written as the sum

$$u = \widetilde{\tau} + (u - \widetilde{\tau}) \tag{13}$$

of some lift $\widetilde{\tau} = u^\lambda\partial_\lambda + \widetilde{\tau}^i\partial_i$ of τ onto Y and the vertical vector field $u - \widetilde{\tau}$ on Y. The symmetry current $\mathfrak{J}_u$ (8) along a vertical vector field $u = u^i\partial_i$ is the Noether current $\mathfrak{J}^\lambda_u = -u^i\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L}$. The current $\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}}$ (8) along a lift $\widetilde{\tau}$ onto Y of a vector field $\tau = \tau^\lambda\partial_\lambda$ on X is the energy-momentum current [4, 5, 6, 8]. Then the decompositions (12) and (13) show that any current $\mathfrak{J}_u$ (8) along a projectable vector field u on a fibre bundle $Y \to X$ can be represented by a sum of an energy-momentum current and a Noether one. Of course, different lifts $\widetilde{\tau}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}'$ onto Y of a vector field τ on X lead to different energy-momentum currents $\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}}$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}'}$, whose difference $\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}} - \mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}'}$ is the Noether current along the vertical vector field $\widetilde{\tau} - \widetilde{\tau}'$ on Y.

Note that there exists the category of so called natural fibre bundles $T \to X$ (e.g., tensor bundles) which admit the canonical lift $\widetilde{\tau}$ of vector fields τ on X. Such a lift is the infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter group of general covariant transformations of T. This is the case of gravitation theory which we here do not concern.

Let us turn to gauge theory of principal connections on a principal bundle $P \to X$ with a structure Lie group G. Let J^1P be the first order jet manifold of $P \to X$ and

$$C = J^1P/G \to X \tag{14}$$

the quotient of P with respect to the canonical action of G on P (e.g., [5, 7, 9]). There is one-to-one correspondence between the principal connections on $P \to X$ and the sections of the fibre bundle C (14), called the connection bundle. Given an atlas Ψ of P, the connection bundle C is provided with bundle coordinates (x^λ, a^r_μ) such that, for any its section A, the local functions $A^r_\mu = a^r_\mu \circ A$ are coefficients of the familiar local connection form. From the physical viewpoint, A is a gauge potential.

The infinitesimal generators of local one-parameter groups of automorphism of a principal bundle $P \to X$ are G-invariant projectable vector fields on $P \to X$. There is one-to-one correspondence between these vector fields and the sections of the quotient

$$T_GP = TP/G \to X \tag{15}$$

of the tangent bundle TP of P with respect to the canonical action of G on P. Given a basis $\{\epsilon_r\}$ for the right Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$ of the group G, let $\{\partial_\lambda, e_r\}$ be the corresponding fibre bases for the vector bundle T_GP. Then, a section ξ of $T_GP \to X$ reads

$$\xi = \xi^\lambda \partial_\lambda + \xi^r e_r. \tag{16}$$

The infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter group of vertical automorphisms is a G-invariant vertical vector field on P identified to a section $\xi = \xi^r e_r$ of the quotient

$$V_GP = VP/G \subset T_GP$$

of the vertical tangent bundle VP of $P \to X$ by the canonical action of G on P. The Lie bracket of two sections ξ and η of the vector bundle $T_GP \to X$ reads

$$[\xi, \eta] = (\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \eta^\lambda - \eta^\mu \partial_\mu \xi^\lambda)\partial_\lambda + (\xi^\lambda \partial_\lambda \eta^r - \eta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \xi^r + c^r_{pq} \xi^p \eta^q) e_r,$$

where c^r_{pq} are the structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$. Putting $\xi^\lambda = 0$ and $\eta^\mu = 0$, we obtain the Lie bracket

$$[\xi, \eta] = c^r_{pq} \xi^p \eta^q e_r \tag{17}$$

of sections of the vector bundle $V_GP \to X$. A glance at the expression (17) shows that the typical fibre of $V_GP \to X$ is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$. The structure group G acts on $\mathfrak{g}_r$ by the adjoint representation.

Note that the connection bundle C (14) is an affine bundle modelled over the vector bundle $T^*X \otimes V_GP$, and elements of C are represented by local V_GP-valued 1-forms $a^r_\mu dx^\mu \otimes e_r$. Bundle automorphisms of a principal bundle $P \to X$ generated by the vector field (16) induce bundle automorphisms of the connection bundle C whose generator is

$$\xi_C = \xi^\lambda \partial_\lambda + (\partial_\mu \xi^r + c^r_{pq} a^p_\mu \xi^q - a^r_\nu \partial_\mu \xi^\nu)\partial^\mu_r. \tag{18}$$

The connection bundle $C \to X$ admits the canonical V_GP-valued 2-form

$$\mathfrak{F} = (da^r_\mu \wedge dx^\mu + \frac{1}{2} c^r_{pq} a^p_\lambda a^q_\mu dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu) \otimes e_r, \tag{19}$$

which is the curvature of the canonical connection on the principal bundle $C \times P \to C$ (e.g., [7]). Given a section A of $C \to X$, the pull-back

$$F_A = A^* \mathfrak{F} = \frac{1}{2} F^r_{\lambda\mu} dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu \otimes e_r, \qquad F^r_{\lambda\mu} = \partial_\lambda A^r_\mu - \partial_\mu A^r_\lambda + c^r_{pq} A^p_\lambda A^q_\mu, \tag{20}$$

of $\mathfrak{F}$ onto X is the strength form of a gauge potential A.

Let $I_k(\epsilon) = b_{r_1\ldots r_k}\epsilon^{r_1}\cdots\epsilon^{r_k}$ be a G-invariant polynomial of degree $k > 1$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$ written with respect to its basis $\{\epsilon_r\}$, i.e.,

$$I_k(\epsilon) = \sum_j b_{r_1\ldots r_k}\epsilon^{r_1}\cdots c^{r_j}_{pq}e^p\cdots\epsilon^{r_k} = kb_{r_1\ldots r_k}c^{r_1}_{pq}\epsilon^p\epsilon^{r_2}\cdots\epsilon^{r_k} = 0.$$

Let us associate to $I(\epsilon)$ the $2k$-form

$$P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) = b_{r_1\ldots r_k}\mathfrak{F}^{r_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_k} \tag{21}$$

on C. It is a closed form which is invariant under vertical automorphisms of C. Let A be a section of $C \to X$. Then, the pull-back

$$P_{2k}(F_A) = A^*P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) \tag{22}$$

of $P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})$ is a closed characteristic form on X. Recall that the de Rham cohomology of C equals that of X since $C \to X$ is an affine bundle. It follows that $P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})$ and $P_{2k}(F_A)$ possess the same cohomology class

$$[P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})] = [P_{2k}(F_A)] \tag{23}$$

for any principal connection A. Thus, $I_k(\epsilon) \mapsto [P_{2k}(F_A)] \in H^*(X)$ is the familiar Weil homomorphism.

Let B be a fixed section of the connection bundle $C \to X$. Given the characteristic form $P_{2k}(F_B)$ (22) on X, let the same symbol stand for its pull-back onto C. By virtue of the equality (23), the difference $P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) - P_{2k}(F_B)$ is an exact form on C. Moreover, similarly to the well-known transgression formula on a principal bundle P, one can obtain the following transgression formula on C:

$$P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) - P_{2k}(F_B) = d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B), \tag{24}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B) = k\int_0^1 \mathfrak{P}_{2k}(t,B)dt, \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{P}_{2k}(t,B) = b_{r_1\ldots r_k}(a^{r_1}_{\mu_1} - B^{r_1}_{\mu_1})dx^{\mu_1}\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_2}(t,B)\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_k}(t,B),\\
&\mathfrak{F}^{r_j}(t,B) = [d(ta^{r_j}_{\mu_j} + (1-t)B^{r_j}_{\mu_j})\wedge dx^{\mu_j} +\\
&\qquad \frac{1}{2}c^{r_j}_{pq}(ta^p_{\lambda_j} + (1-t)B^p_{\lambda_j})(ta^q_{\mu_j} + (1-t)B^q_{\mu_j})dx^{\lambda_j}\wedge dx^{\mu_j}]\otimes e_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Its pull-back by means of a section A of $C \to X$ gives the transgression formula

$$P_{2k}(F_A) - P_{2k}(F_B) = dS_{2k-1}(A,B)$$

on X. For instance, if $P_{2k}(F_A)$ is the characteristic Chern $2k$-form, then $S_{2k-1}(A,B)$ is the familiar CS $(2k-1)$-form. Therefore, we agree to call $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$ (25) the CS form on the connection bundle C. In particular, one can choose the local section $B=0$. Then, $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}=\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(0)$ is the local CS form. Let $S_{2k-1}(A)$ denote its pull-back onto X by means of a section A of $C\to X$. Then, the CS form $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$ admits the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)=\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}-S_{2k-1}(B)+dK_{2k-1}(B). \tag{26}$$

Let J^1C be the first order jet manifold of the connection bundle $C\to X$ equipped with the adapted coordinates $(x^\lambda,a^r_\mu,a^r_{\lambda\mu})$. Let us consider the pull-back of the CS form (25) onto J^1C denoted by the same symbol $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$, and let

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=h_0\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B) \tag{27}$$

be its horizontal projection. This is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=k\int\limits_0^1\mathcal{P}_{2k}(t,B)dt,\\
&\mathcal{P}_{2k}(t,B)=b_{r_1\ldots r_k}(a^{r_1}_{\mu_1}-B^{r_1}_{\mu_1})dx^{\mu_1}\wedge\mathcal{F}^{r_2}(t,B)\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathcal{F}^{r_k}(t,B),\\
&\mathfrak{F}^{r_j}(t,B)=\frac{1}{2}[ta^{r_j}_{\lambda_j\mu_j}+(1-t)\partial_{\lambda_j}B^{r_j}_{\mu_j}-ta^{r_j}_{\mu_j\lambda_j}-(1-t)\partial_{\mu_j}B^{r_j}_{\lambda_j}+\\
&\qquad\frac{1}{2}c^{r_j}_{pq}(ta^p_{\lambda_j}+(1-t)B^p_{\lambda_j})(ta^q_{\mu_j}+(1-t)B^q_{\mu_j}]dx^{\lambda_j}\wedge dx^{\mu_j}\otimes e_r.
\end{aligned}$$

The decomposition (26) induces the decomposition

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}-S_{2k-1}(B)+d_H\mathcal{K}_{2k-1}(B),\qquad \mathcal{K}_{2k-1}(B)=h_0K_{2k-1}(B). \tag{28}$$

Now, let us consider the CS gauge model on a $(2k-1)$-dimensional base manifold X whose Lagrangian

$$L_{\rm CS}=\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B) \tag{29}$$

is the CS form (27) on J^1C. Let

$$\xi_C=(\partial_\mu\xi^r+c^r_{pq}a^p_\mu\xi^q)\partial^\mu_r \tag{30}$$

be a vertical vector field on C. We have shown that

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C}\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=d_H\sigma \tag{31}$$

[11]. As a consequence, the CS gauge model with the Lagrangian (29) admits the Noether conservation law

$$0 \approx -d_H(\mathfrak{J}_\xi + \sigma),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{J}_\xi = \partial_r^{\lambda\mu} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)(\partial_\mu \xi^r + c^r_{pq} a^p_\mu \xi^q)$$

is the symmetry current along the vertical vector field ξ_C (30).

Using this fact, let us study energy-momentum conservation laws in the CS gauge model with the Lagrangian (29). Let B' be some section of the connection bundle $C \to X$. Given a vector field τ on X, there exists its lift

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{B'} = \tau^\lambda \partial_\lambda + [\partial_\mu(\tau^\nu B'^r_\nu) + c^r_{pq} a^p_\mu (\tau^\nu B'^q_\nu) - a^r_\nu \partial_\mu \tau^\nu]\partial^\mu_r. \tag{32}$$

onto the connection bundle $C \to X$ [5, 7, 8]. Comparing the expressions (18) and (32), one easily observes that the lift $\widetilde{\tau}_{B'}$ is the infinitesimal generator of bundle automorphisms of C with parameters $\xi^\lambda = \tau^\lambda$, $\xi^r = \tau^\nu B^r_\nu$. Let us bring locally the vector field (32) into the sum

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{B'} = \widetilde{\tau}_1 + \widetilde{\tau}_2 = [\tau^\lambda \partial_\lambda - a^r_\nu \partial_\mu \tau^\nu \partial^\mu_r] + [\partial_\mu(\tau^\nu B'^r_\nu) + c^r_{pq} a^p_\mu (\tau^\nu B'^q_\nu)]\partial^\mu_r. \tag{33}$$

One can think of $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ as being the local infinitesimal generator of general covariant transformations of C, while $\widetilde{\tau}_2$ is a local vertical vector field on $C \to X$ (cf. (30)). Then, the weak equality (9) for the Lie derivative $\mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)$ reads

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_1} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B) + \mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_2} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B) \approx -d_H \mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}}, \tag{34}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}}$ is the energy-momentum current along $\widetilde{\tau}_{B'}$. The second term in the left-hand side of this equality takes the form (31), and the first one does so as follows. Using the decomposition (28), one can write

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_1} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B) = \mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_1} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1} + \mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_1}(S_{2k-1}(B) + d_H \mathcal{K}_{2k-1}(B)).$$

The first term in the right-hand side of this relation vanishes because the local CS form $\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}$ is invariant under general covariant transformations. The second one takes the form (10) because $S_{2k-1}(B) + d_H \mathcal{K}_{2k-1}(B)$ is a variationally trivial Lagrangian. Consequently, the weak equality (34) comes to the conservation law

$$0 \approx -d_H(\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}} + \sigma')$$

of the modified energy-momentum current.

For instance, let G be a semi-simple group and a^G the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}_r$. Let

$$P(\mathfrak{F}) = \frac{h}{2} a^G_{mn} \mathfrak{F}^m \wedge \mathfrak{F}^n \tag{35}$$

be the second Chern form up to a constant multiple. Given a section B of $C \to X$, the transgression formula (24) on C reads

$$P(\mathfrak{F}) - P(F_B) = d\mathfrak{S}_3(B), \tag{36}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_3(B)$ is the CS 3-form up to a constant multiple. Let us a consider the gauge model on a 3-dimensional base manifold whose Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathrm{CS}} = h_0(\mathfrak{S}_3(B)) = \mathcal{S}_3 - S_3(B) + d_H\mathcal{K}_3(B) = [\frac{1}{2} h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} a^m_\alpha (\mathcal{F}^n_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} c^n_{pq} a^p_\beta a^q_\gamma) \quad (37) \\ -\frac{1}{2} h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} B^m_\alpha (F(B)^n_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} c^n_{pq} B^p_\beta B^q_\gamma) - d_\alpha (h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} a^m_\beta B^n_\gamma)] d^3x, \\ \mathcal{F} = h_0 \mathfrak{F} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^r_{\lambda\mu} dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu \otimes e_r, \qquad \mathcal{F}^r_{\lambda\mu} = a^r_{\lambda\mu} - a^r_{\mu\lambda} + c^r_{pq} a^p_\lambda a^q_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(-S_3(B) + d_H\mathcal{K}_3(B))$ is a variationally trivial local Lagrangian, the first variational formula for the CS Lagrangian (37) reduces to that for the local CS Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{S}_3 = \frac{1}{2} h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} a^m_\alpha (\mathcal{F}^n_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} c^n_{pq} a^p_\beta a^q_\gamma) d^3x. \tag{38}$$

On-shell, it reads

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_2} \mathcal{S}_3 \approx -d_H \mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}}, \tag{39}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_{\widetilde{\tau}}$ is the symmetry current (8) of $\mathcal{S}_3$ (38) along the vector field $\widetilde{\tau}_{B'}$ (33). A simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_2} \mathcal{S}_3 = -d_\alpha [h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\beta (\tau^\nu B'^m_\nu) a^n_\gamma] d^3x, \\ &\mathfrak{J}^\alpha_{\widetilde{\tau}} = -h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} [a^m_\beta d_\gamma (\tau^\nu a^n_\nu) + \partial_\beta (\tau^n u B'^m_\nu + c^m_{pq} a^p_\beta \tau^\nu B'^q_\nu) a^n_\gamma] - \tau^\alpha \mathcal{S}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we come to the conservation law of the modified energy-momentum current

$$\overline{\mathfrak{J}} = \mathfrak{J}^\alpha_{\widetilde{\tau}} - h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\beta (\tau^\nu B'^m_\nu) a^n_\gamma.$$

References

[1] G.Allemandi, M.Francaviglia and M.Raiteri, Covariant charges in Chern–Simons AdS_3 gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 483; *E-print arXiv:* gr-qc/0211098.

[2] A.Borowiec, M.Ferraris and M.Francaviglia, Lagrangian symmetries of Chern–Simons theories, *J. Phys. A* **31** (1998) 8823: *E-print arXiv:* hep-th/9801126.

[3] A.Borowiec, M.Ferraris and M.Francaviglia, A covariant formalism for Chern–Simons gravity, *J. Phys. A* **36** (2003) 2589; *E-print arXiv*: math-ph/0301146.

[4] M.Ferraris and M.Francaviglia, Energy-momentum tensors and stress tensors in geometric field theories, *J. Math.Phys.* **26** (1985) 1243.

[5] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Methods in Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).

[6] M.Gotay and J.Marsden, Stress-energy-momentum tensors and the Belinfante–Rosenfeld formula, *Contemp. Mathem.* **132** (1992) 367.

[7] L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *Connections in Classical and Quantum Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).

[8] G.Sardanashvily, Stress-energy-momentum tensor in constraint field theories, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 847.

[9] G.Sardanashvily, Ten lectures on jet manifold in classical and quantum field theory, *E-print arXiv*: math-ph/0203040.

[10] G.Sardanashvily, Energy-momentum conservation laws in gauge theory with broken gauge invariance, *E-print arXiv*: hep-th/0203275.

[11] G.Sardanashvily, Gauge conservation laws in higher-dimensional Chern–Simons models, *E-print arXiv*: hep-th/0303059.